DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION OFFICE OF THE STATE FIRE MARSHAL

P.O. Box 944246 SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2460 Website: www.fire.ca.gov (916) 445-8200



CALIFORNIA CODE ADOPTION Meeting Notes

Identification of Group: Work Group # 3 'E & I-4'
Date/Time of Meeting: 12/12/05 9:30 – 14:00

Location of Meeting: State Architect Los Angeles Basin Region

ATTENDEES:

Facilitators:

Eddie Vasquez - Present Steve Widmayer_ Present

Committee or Group Members Present:

Edward Aleman – Phoned In Tim Annis – Phoned In John Haberek – Phoned In Kirk Miya – Phoned in John Traw – Present

CDF/SFM Staff Present:

None Present

STAKEHOLDERS IN AUDIENCE:

Estelle Ford - CAFFA / ENKO

DOCUMENT HANDOUTS:

- 1. Copies of Minutes 10/5 & 26/2005
- 2.
- 3.

AGENDA:

- 1. Assignments for proposed amendments from the minutes of 10/26/05.
- 2. Incorporate comparison of CFC provisions with IFC.
- 3. Assess future meeting date and Time.

DISCUSSION/COMMENTS:

1. Initiated meeting with attendance phone conference protocol – and commenced with Mr. Widmayer overview of Core Meeting on 12/5/05.

Mr. Widmayer conveyed the deadline for Draft # 2 will be required by 1/6/06, and draft # 3 on February 15, 2006.

Information conveyed to the equivalent provisions of ICC too CBC with a seven level of priority assessment for the proposed amendments.

CONSERVATION IS WISE-KEEP CALIFORNIA GREEN AND GOLDEN

- 2. Mr. Traw intervened with what continued access for electronic regulation editing will be available? Ms. Haberek may have updates available and will forward to all members. Information will be forwarded to SFM to inquire what access features will be abailable to all participants.
- Mr. Traw also conveyed to review Mr. Armstrong Comparison for ICC / CBC for assistance with assignments.
- 3. Mr. Miya discussed the issue of item # 6 & 13 definitions provision with no comparable reference in ICC. Group discussed the potential to place this item as amendment in Chap. 4 ICC and if agreed could we have Special Occupancy workgroup # 13 complete this amendment? (Noted with the laughter that all we attentive to the discussion)
- 4. Mr. Vasquez discussed that without a general format that consideration should be given to incorporate an option to proposed amendments in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4. There was indication from other (I occupancy) workgroups to incorporate these specific provisions in the 'Special detailed Requirements Based on Use & Occupancy'.

That stated would concur that 'E & I-4' amendments may be implemented in Chapter 4 and should be the initial proposed location with an optional proposed location to incorporate it in Chapter 3.

Once draft presented to Core Group and with further direction provided a final location for the amendment will be able to incorporate either optional language.

- 5. Mr. Miya requested reconfirmed that item # 8 which is not adopted by SFM will not be an amendment? Mr. Vasquez confirmed this section is not adopted in the matrix but should be evaluated for equivalents in the ICC and from this comparison determine no amendment! Equal level of safety! Or ?
- 6. Mr. Widmayer discussed item # 16 which defined the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy which is generally provided by Local Building Departments, Mr. Vasquez conveyed during meeting 10/26/05 that there is no issuance of this Certificate for Occupancy. Title 24 Part I only indicates the requirement for certificate of completion of construction is issued through the State Architect Office. It was advised that Mr. Vasquez would research this further and perhaps when the Administrative work group assembles that this would be presented for discussion. (SFM will be advised of the conflict due to this provision noted in the adoption matrix is applicable)
- 7. Mr. Vasquez discussed assignments from 11/30/05 phone conference. (Mr. Aleman, Mr. Miya & Mr. Vasquez originally assigned to create rough draft of amendments for Core Group 12/5/05 meeting) This was unsuccessful due to IT and time complications. Advised to continue the process and to contact two additional members to complete the assignment Once additional members have been contacted request each to forward the participants to E. Vasquez an establish completion date of 12/23/05 for posting and review by the group with final modifications proposed completed on 12/27/05 and presented to SFM Mr. Najera.
- 8. Mr. Haberek advised to incorporate the assessment of IFC to CFC in compiling the amendments, the particular language stated is to be sent by e-mail to the group. Please refer to his e-mail.
- 9. Meeting conclude with brief summary and scheduling of next Phone Conference Meeting.

ACTION ITEMS (FOLLOW UP) AND RESPONSIBLES:

1. Eddie Aleman to coordinate with John Haberek contacting two other members for the first eight items in 10/26/05 and generate proposed amendment language.

- 2. Kirk Miya to coordinate with Steve Widmayer contacting two other members for the second eight items in 10/26/05 and generate proposed amendment language.
- 3. Eddie Vasquez & Sohil Jordan contacting one other member for the last nine items in 10/26/05 and generate proposed amendment language.
- 4. This assignment shall include assessment of CFC 2000 Vs IFC 2003 for comparable minimum provisions where necessary incorporate proposed amendment language.

NEXT MEETING:

December 27, 2005 Phone Conference 9:30 – 12:00 am Phone # 888) 422-7101 access pin pending.